

kHz Quasi Periodic Oscillations in Low Mass X-ray Binaries as Probes of General Relativity in the Strong Field Regime

Luigi Stella¹ and Mario Vietri²

¹ Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via Frascati 33, 00040 Monteporzio Catone (Roma)
E-mail: stella@heads.mporzio.astro.it ; affiliated to I.C.R.A

² Dipartimento di Fisica E. Amaldi, Università di Roma 3, Via della Vasca Navale 84, 00147 Roma
E-mail: vietri@corelli.fis.uniroma3.it

(February 1, 2008)

We consider the interpretation of a pair of kHz Quasi Periodic Oscillations (QPOs) in the Fourier spectra of two Low Mass X-Ray Binaries, Sco X-1 and 4U1608-52, hosting an old accreting neutron star. The observed frequency difference of these QPOs decreases as their frequency increases, contrary to simple beat frequency models, which predict a constant frequency difference. We show that the behaviour of these QPOs is instead well matched in terms of the fundamental frequencies (in the radial and azimuthal directions) for test particle motion in the gravitational field of the neutron star, for reasonable star masses, and nearly independent of the star spin. The radial frequency must be much smaller than the azimuthal one, testifying that kHz QPOs are produced close to the innermost stable orbit. These results are not reproduced through the post-Newtonian (PN) approximation of General Relativity (GR). kHz QPOs from X-ray binaries likely provide an accurate laboratory for strong field GR.

PACS numbers: 97.60.Jd, 04.80.Cc, 97.80.Jp

Introduction. The kHz QPOs in the X-ray flux of some 20 old accreting neutron stars in Low Mass X-Ray Binaries (LMXBs) are the first involving timescales close to the dynamical ones in the vicinity of a collapsed star [1]. The frequency of these QPOs (from ~ 0.33 to ~ 1.23 kHz) displays changes which are often correlated with the instantaneous accretion rate. A common phenomenon is the presence of a pair of kHz QPOs (with centroid frequencies ν_1 and ν_2) drifting towards higher frequencies while keeping their difference $\Delta\nu \equiv \nu_2 - \nu_1$ approximately constant. The range of $\Delta\nu$ is also quite narrow across different sources ($\Delta\nu \approx 250 - 360$ Hz). During type I bursts from six of these sources, a nearly coherent signal at a frequency of $\approx 330 - 590$ Hz was also detected. In a few cases the latter frequency is consistent, to within the errors, with the frequency separation $\Delta\nu$, or twice its value $2\Delta\nu$.

These results have been interpreted in terms of beat frequency models, BFM (magnetospheric and sonic point) [2] where inhomogeneities at the inner disk boundary are accreted at the beat frequency between the local Keplerian frequency, ν_K , and the neutron star spin frequency, ν_{spin} . ν_K increases in response to increasing accretion rates and so does the QPO beat frequency $\nu_b = \nu_K - \nu_{spin}$. In these BFMs, the lower frequency kHz

QPOs are interpreted as the beat frequency ($\nu_1 = \nu_b$) and the higher frequency kHz QPOs as the Keplerian frequency ($\nu_2 = \nu_K$) at the inner disk boundary. Therefore, $\Delta\nu$ yields the neutron star spin frequency ($\nu_{spin} = \Delta\nu$). A signal at a frequency close to $\Delta\nu$ (or $2\Delta\nu$) is occasionally detected, but only during type I bursts. The inferred ν_{spin} spans a remarkably small range ($\sim 250 - 360$ Hz), far from the breakup limit of any neutron star model. A direct consequence of the BFM interpretation, such a clustering of spin frequencies across LMXBs with very different average mass transfer rates, orbital parameters, evolutionary histories and magnetic field strengths is surprising and calls for a separate explanation [3].

Recent findings, however, undermine the applicability of simple BFMs to a growing number of LMXBs with kHz QPOs. First, the separation $\Delta\nu$ decreases by $\sim 80 - 100$ Hz as ν_2 increases from ~ 800 to ~ 1100 Hz in Sco X-1 [4] and 4U1608-52 [5]. Owing to poor statistics, a similar variation of $\Delta\nu$ in other sources would have remained undetected [6]: the behaviour of Sco X-1 and 4U1608-52 might therefore be the rule. Second, in 4U1636-536 the frequency of the signal during bursts is inconsistent with $\Delta\nu$ or its second harmonics [7].

We propose here a substantially different scenario in which *both* kHz QPOs originate from the motion of matter inhomogeneities in the vicinity of the neutron star: as in BFMs, the higher frequency QPOs at ν_2 are produced by the ϕ -motion (i.e. the Keplerian motion) of inhomogeneities orbiting the inner disk boundary, while the lower frequency QPO signal at ν_1 originates from the periastron precession at the inner edge of the accretion disk. Strong-field effects play a major role in determining the latter frequency. If this interpretation were confirmed, kHz QPOs would provide an unprecedented test for strong-field general relativity, GR.

The model. We assume that the motion of the disk matter is dictated by the star's gravity alone. The gravitational field close to a neutron star is dominated by the Schwarzschild terms of the metric, with small corrections due to the neutron star rotation. For nearly circular orbits (eccentricity $e \simeq 0$) the coordinate frequency in the radial direction $\nu_{S,r}$ as measured from an observer at infinity is given by

$$\nu_{S,r} = \nu_{S,\phi}(1 - 6M/r)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where r is the coordinate distance and M the mass of the compact object (throughout this paper we use geometrical units $G = c = 1$). The ϕ -frequency retains its Keplerian value $\nu_{S,\phi} = \nu_K = (M/4\pi^2 r^3)^{1/2}$.

Eq. (1) shows that approaching the marginally stable orbit ($r_{ms} = 6M$), $\nu_{S,r}$ vanishes, so that the precession frequency of the orbit's periastron $\nu_{per} \equiv \nu_\phi - \nu_r = \nu_{S,\phi} - \nu_{S,r}$ approaches the ϕ -frequency. We propose here that, while the QPOs at ν_2 arise from the ϕ -frequency of matter orbiting close to the neutron star (as in BFMs), the QPOs at ν_1 result from the strong-field periastron precession of the same matter. Therefore $\Delta\nu \equiv \nu_2 - \nu_1 = \nu_{S,\phi} - (\nu_{S,\phi} - \nu_{S,r}) = \nu_{S,r}$. The curves in Fig.1 show $\nu_{S,r}$ as a function of $\nu_{S,\phi}$ for selected values of the neutron star mass, the only free parameter in the equations above. It is easy to see that $\nu_{S,r}$ decreases after a maximum of $\nu_{S,r}(max) = 358(2M_\odot/M)$ Hz for a ϕ -frequency of $\nu_{S,\phi} = 2\nu_{S,r}(max) \simeq 0.65 \nu_{S,\phi}(r_{ms})$. The measured $\Delta\nu$ vs. ν_2 for ten LMXRBs is also plotted. It is apparent that for neutron star masses in the $2 M_\odot$ range, the simple model outlined above is in qualitative agreement with the measured values, including the decrease of $\Delta\nu$ for increasing ν_2 seen in Sco X-1 and 4U1608-52.

Developing a quantitative model involves allowing for finite orbital eccentricity and neutron star rotation. In order to calculate the ϕ - and r -frequencies of bound orbits in the Schwarzschild metric, we define $\mu \equiv M/a(1 - e^2)$, where a is the elliptic orbit semi-major axis. The radial frequency is given by [8]

$$\nu_{S,r} = \frac{2\pi\nu_K f(e, \mu)^{-1}}{(1 - e^2)^{3/2} ((2\mu - 1)^2 - 4\mu^2 e^2)^{1/2}}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$f(e, \mu) \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dx [1 - 2\mu(3 + e \cos x)]^{-1/2}}{(1 + e \cos x)^2 [1 - 2\mu(1 + e \cos x)]} \quad (3)$$

and $\nu_K = (M/4\pi^2 a^3)^{1/2}$. The azimuthal frequency $\nu_{S,\phi}$ is defined by an identical formula, except that the integration in x extends to x_c , implicitly defined by

$$2\pi\sqrt{1 - 6\mu + 2\mu e} = \int_0^{x_c} \frac{d\gamma}{(1 - k^2 \sin^2 \gamma)^{1/2}}, \quad (4)$$

with $k \equiv 4\mu e / (1 - 6\mu + 2\mu e)$.

The effects of the neutron star rotation are twofold. The gravitomagnetic effects are estimated here at the first order of the angular momentum in the Kerr metric, *i.e.* the Lense-Thirring order. We have [9] $\nu_{LT,\phi} = -\nu_{LT,nod}/2$ and $\nu_{LT,r} = 3\nu_{LT,nod}/2$, where

$$\nu_{LT,nod} = 4\pi a' \nu_K^2 (1 - e^2)^{-3/2} \quad (5)$$

is the Lense-Thirring nodal precession frequency, $a' = 2\pi\nu_{spin}I/M$ the specific angular momentum and I the moment of inertia of the rotating star.

The neutron star rotation-induced oblateness gives rise to a quadrupole component of the gravitational field. For orbits close to the equatorial plane, the quadrupole corrections are $\nu_{Q,\phi} = -\nu_{Q,nod}(1 - e^2)^{1/2}$ and $\nu_{Q,r} = \nu_{Q,nod}[2 - (1 - e^2)^{1/2}]$ where

$$\nu_{Q,nod} = -3\nu_K \Phi_2 (2Mr^2)^{-1} (1 - e^2)^{-2} \quad (6)$$

is the quadrupole nodal precession frequency and Φ_2 the star's quadrupole moment. Detailed numerical calculations and approximate formulae for $\nu_{LT,nod}$ and $\nu_{Q,nod}$ are given in [10] for a variety of equations of state, EsOS. The corresponding corrections to the ϕ , r and periastron frequencies are small (up to tens of Hz) also for fast spinning neutron stars. Note that nodal precession arises exclusively from the relevant Lense-Thirring and quadrupole terms.

The ϕ - and r -frequencies obtained by summing the components discussed above were fit to the ν_2 and $\Delta\nu$ frequencies measured in Sco X-1. Initially M and e were treated as parameters of the fit, while different frequencies were calculated within each model by stepping a , with $\nu_{spin} = 0$. These fits had $e \sim 0$ and were similar to those in Fig. 1. Allowing for neutron star rotation (including the Lense-Thirring and quadrupole terms) gave improved fits only for very stiff EsOS, such as L (*cf.* [10] and references therein), and large counterrotating spin frequencies. For example a model consisting of nearly circular orbits with $\nu_{spin} = -520$ Hz, and a $1.8 M_\odot$ neutron star with EOS L gave a fit similar to that shown in Fig. 2. While viable, this model is not satisfactory, because, if the neutron stars in LMXRBs have been spun up by accretion torques, one expects the disk matter to rotate in the same direction as the neutron star.

Since there is no a priori reason why matter in the innermost disk region should maintain a constant e as a varies, we stepped e in order to obtain different frequencies. The neutron star equator, marginally stable orbit or magnetospheric radius (depending on which is largest) provide a natural inner boundary to the accretion disk and the periastron of its innermost orbits. With this geometry in mind we introduced the periastron distance $r_p = a(1 - e)$ as the second parameter in the fit. The best fit obtained in this way for a non-rotating neutron star is shown in Fig. 2. The agreement with the measured frequencies is remarkable. The variation of e with ν_ϕ is also shown. The model has, for the two free parameters, $M \simeq 1.90 M_\odot$ and $r_p \simeq 6.25 M$, a value close to the marginally stable orbit.

When ν_{spin} is allowed a finite value, slightly improved fits are obtained. For acceptable values of the neutron star spin frequency, however, these would be hard to distinguish from the best fit in Fig. 2. For a (corotating) ν_{spin} of 300 and 600 Hz and EOS L, the best fit parameters would be $M \simeq 1.94$ and $1.98 M_\odot$, $r_p \simeq 6.18$ and $6.17 M$, respectively. This shows that the effects

induced by the neutron star rotation through the Lense-Thirring and quadrupole terms are quite small, though non-negligible; they cannot be currently disentangled from the Schwarzschild terms without a serious risk of overinterpreting the data and model. While consistent with it, our model does not provide *independent* evidence that Sco X-1, and likewise other LMXRBs, contain a fast spinning neutron star.

We have shown that the model we propose accurately reproduces the observations with a minimum number of free parameters (two, M and r_p) the values of which are well within the expected range. The fits described above (plus a large number of others that we tried), together with analytical estimates, show that for no acceptable value of the neutron star parameters (mass, spin, EOS) the Lense-Thirring and quadrupole corrections alone can produce a large enough decrease of ν_r over the relevant range of ν_ϕ . Also, one may wonder whether the accuracy of our fits reflects the properties of the strong field Schwarzschild metric, or some lower order expansion would reproduce the observed frequencies comparably well. So we carried out the calculations as in [8] with the approximate PN metric for a spherical star [11], and repeated the fits described above. The modelling of the Sco X-1 data was very poor, the best fits lying outside the range of Fig.2. We conclude that the matching of the model and the data is to be ascribed mainly to the strong-field Schwarzschild terms.

A simple argument shows also that the strong field regime applies. In the interpretation we propose, ν_r , often referred to as the epicyclic frequency, is $\ll \nu_\phi$. This ordering is unusual, the epicyclic frequency in most cases being the larger. However, if one remembers that $4\pi^2\nu_r^2 \equiv d^2V_{eff}/dr^2$, where V_{eff} is the effective potential, one sees that the above ordering is natural for orbits close to the marginally stable one, for which the effective potential notoriously has an inflection point, $d^2V_{eff}/dr^2 = 0$. Thus the relevant orbits must be close to the innermost stable orbit.

Discussion. The higher frequency kHz QPOs at ν_2 are interpreted in terms of the orbital ϕ -frequency of matter at the inner edge of the accretion disk both within BFM and the model presented here. The similarity in the highest value of ν_2 ($\sim 1.1 - 1.2$ kHz) across different sources and, in a few cases, the saturation of ν_2 at its highest value despite an increasing source flux have been interpreted by several authors as signs that the inner disk edge reaches the marginally stable orbit [12].

If, as predicted in our model, the lower frequency kHz QPOs arise from the periastron precession of the same matter that produces the higher frequency kHz QPOs, then the exciting perspective opens up of probing directly the predictions of GR in the vicinity of the marginally stable orbit. Moreover, results which are difficult to reconcile with BFMs are readily interpreted. First, the decreasing $\Delta\nu$ for increasing ν_2 observed in Sco X-1 [4] and

4U1608-52 [5] (and compatible with measurements in all other kHz QPO LMXRBs) results naturally from the expected strong field behaviour of ν_r in the vicinity of the marginally stable orbit. Second, the fact that in all kHz QPO sources $\Delta\nu$ is around 250 – 360 Hz is naturally interpreted in terms of the characteristic broad maximum of ν_r versus ν_ϕ apparent in Fig. 1 provided the neutron star is $\sim 2 M_\odot$. The predicted ν_r and ν_ϕ depend only weakly on ν_{spin} . Therefore, the measurement of ν_{spin} in QPO LMXRBs has to rely only on coherent pulsations (so far detected only in 1SAX 1808.4-3658 [13]) or, perhaps, the signals observed during type I bursts from (low luminosity) Atoll sources [14]. The range of neutron star spins ($\sim 330 - 590$ Hz) inferred from ν_{burst} is wider and shifted towards higher values, compared to that obtained within BFMs from $\Delta\nu$. This helps reconciling the spin period distribution of LMXRBs with that of millisecond radio pulsars. Cyg X-2 and GX 17+2, somewhat peculiar type I bursters, might soon provide the first spin measurements in (high luminosity) Z-sources.

The model described here requires a small eccentricity of the blobs' orbits at the inner disk edge in order to match in detail the Sco X-1 kHz QPOs, without invoking large counterrotating neutron star spins. Such an eccentricity might originate from a variety of effects such as the amplification of the blobs' radial oscillations due to a resonant interaction with the rotating magnetic field of the neutron star [15] or quasi-elastic impacts of blobs with the neutron star magnetospheric boundary or the boundary layer at the neutron star surface. If eccentricity variations drive the observed changes of ν_1 and ν_2 , then the correlation of ν_1 and ν_2 with the mass accretion rate inferred from observations requires an anticorrelation of the eccentricity and $\dot{M}$; the mechanism for this is presently unknown, but one may speculate that in low-eccentricity encounters of the blobs with the edge of the precipice there is more time for matter to fall over.

A modulation at the periastron precession frequency can be produced through the usual mechanisms, namely orbit-modulated emission by self-luminous blobs or partially occulting blobs at the innermost disk boundary (*cf.* also [16]). In the first case Doppler beaming and blueshift enhance emission by the blobs when the periastron velocity (the highest along the orbit) is closest to the line of sight; in the second the fraction of radiation from close to the neutron star that is occulted is a maximum whenever occultation is produced by a blob at periastron, where a blob subtends the largest solid angle relative to the neutron star. Both situations repeat themselves with frequency $\nu_\phi - \nu_r$, the periastron precession frequency. The harmonic content of the signal(s) observed at infinity might be affected by strong gravitational lensing in the vicinity of the neutron star [17].

Finally we note that the present model has also implications for the recent suggestion that the $\sim 20 - 35$ Hz oscillations observed in several kHz QPO sources of the

Atoll group, and perhaps also the $\sim 15 - 60$ Hz horizontal branch oscillations of Z-sources, originate in the (Lense-Thirring dominated) nodal precession of blobs lifted off the equatorial plane at the inner disk boundary [16]. By using the spin frequencies inferred from ν_{burst} (as opposed to $\Delta\nu$ in BFMs) the predicted nodal precession frequencies for Atoll sources are up to a factor of ~ 2 higher and match the observations more accurately [10]. On the contrary, in the application of the nodal precession model to Z-type LMXRBs the (as yet unmeasured) spin frequency is to be regarded as a free parameter.

In summary, the QPO phenomenon in LMXRBs is likely to reflect the fundamental frequencies of the relativistic motion of matter in the vicinity of the neutron star. As discussed in the present *Letter*, if the ϕ -frequency and periastron precession frequency are directly observed as kHz QPOs, these yield an unprecedented diagnostic of GR in the strong-field regime.

FIG. 1. kHz QPO frequency difference $\Delta\nu$ versus higher QPO frequency ν_2 for ten LMXRBs (cf. [4, 5, 6] and references therein). Error bars are not plotted for the sake of clarity. The models give the r - and ϕ -frequencies of matter in nearly circular orbit around a non-rotating neutron star, of mass 2.2, 2.0 and 1.8 $M_\odot$.

FIG. 2. kHz QPO frequency difference $\Delta\nu$ versus higher QPO frequency ν_2 in Sco X-1. The best fit model corresponds to the r - and ϕ -frequencies of matter orbiting a non-rotating 1.90 $M_\odot$ neutron star at a periastron distance of 6.25 M (17.5 km). The line marked with e gives the orbital eccentricity ($\times 1000$) as a function of the ϕ -frequency. Note that e approaches 0 for the highest observed ν_2 ; however a similar fit would be obtained by forcing r_p to a slightly smaller value such that e remains finite, though small, for any observed ν_2 . The upper curve is obtained by using the same parameters as above for a neutron star spinning at 600 Hz and EOS L.

-
- [1] For a review see M. van der Klis, *The Many Faces of Neutron Stars*, Eds. A. Alpar, R. Buccheri & J. van Paradijs, Kluwer: Dordrecht, p. 337 (1998).
 - [2] A. Alpar, & J. Shaham, *Nature* 316, 239 (1985); F.K. Lamb, N. Shibazaki, A. Alpar, & J. Shaham, *Nature* 317, 681 (1985); M.C. Miller, F.K. Lamb & D. Psaltis, *ApJ*, in press (1998).
 - [3] N.E. White, & W. Zhang, *ApJL*, 490, L87 (1997); L. Bildsten, *ApJL*, 501, L89 (1998); N. Andersson, K. D. Kokkotas, & N. Stergioulas, *ApJ*, in press (1998).
 - [4] M. van der Klis, et al., *ApJ*, 481, L97 (1997).
 - [5] M. Mendez, et al., *ApJ*, 494, L65 (1998); M. Mendez, et al., *ApJ*, 505, L23 (1998).
 - [6] D. Psaltis, et al., *ApJL*, 501, L95 (1998).
 - [7] M. Mendez, M. van der Klis, J. van Paradijs, *ApJL*, 506, L117 (1998).
 - [8] S. Chandrasekhar, *The mathematical theory of black holes*, Oxford University Press: Oxford (1983).
 - [9] J. Lense, & H. Thirring, English translation by B. Mashoon, F.W. Hehl, & D.S. Theiss, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, 16, 711 (1984).
 - [10] S. Morsink, & L. Stella, *ApJ*, in press (1998).
 - [11] C. W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, & J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, W.H. Freeman & Company: New York (1973).
 - [12] P. Kaaret, et al. *ApJ*, 480, L27 (1997); W. Zhang, T.E. Strohmayer, & J.H. Swank, *ApJ*, 482, L167 (1997); W. Zhang, et al., *ApJL*, 500, L171 (1998).
 - [13] R. Wijnands, & M. van der Klis, *Nature*, 394, 344 (1998); D. Chakrabarty, & E. Morgan, *Nature*, 394, 346 (1998).
 - [14] G. Hasinger, & M. van der Klis, *A&A*, 225, 79 (1989).
 - [15] M. Vietri, & L. Stella, *ApJ*, 503, 350 (1998).
 - [16] L. Stella, & M. Vietri, *ApJL*, 492, L599 (1998).
 - [17] C.T. Cunningham, & J.M. Bardeen, *ApJ*, 183, 237 (1973).



